

## Small business opposes Paid Family & Medical Leave mandate

NFIB has reviewed the latest bill draft, [SB 5975](#), and **remains opposed to a mandatory, state-run, paid family and medical leave program**. We are providing this updated alert in response to lawmakers' questions about our position.

**Every worker in the state will be required to participate, whether they choose to or not.** Payroll taxes of 0.04 percent will be deducted from their earnings for the first two years, with the rate varying from 0.01 to 0.06 percent in the future.

**Small businesses are NOT exempt.** While firms employing fewer than 50 workers might “opt out” of their share of premium, estimated at 37 percent, they will still bear the **program's administrative expenses and costs related to workers taking up to 18 weeks leave.**

To take advantage of the so-called “**small business assistance**” grants, **employers with fewer than 50 workers must pay three years' of premiums to the state.**

This program would allow **12 to 18 weeks leave with inadequate limitations** on reasons for taking leave – even **high pollen count and morning sickness** would be permitted reasons for long-term absences.

**Workers taking paid leave could still work on the side** in “secondary employment,” despite being unable to report for work with their primary employer. The term is not defined in the bill. Common usage equates the term with a second job, or “moonlighting.” Proponent's assertions that it would apply to an individual being provided care, not a worker taking paid leave, is at best disingenuous.

**Every employer will be required to keep detailed records, subject to audit,** for a period of six years. They will be **required to collect and remit payroll taxes** to fund the program.

We appreciate that personal liability for employers has been removed; however, the bill still authorizes ESD to **lien assets, seize and sell property, and pursue civil litigation** to collect premiums proponents claim are minor program costs, if “conference and conciliation” or other “compromise” fails.

Committee staff reported this morning that a partial fiscal note calls for an **\$80 million GF-S loan** for IT systems and services, and to add as many as **150 new state employees** to administer the program. The **cost for state employees' participation in the program has not been released.**

Proponents claim the statutory rate schedule should prevent large surpluses. They estimate an approximately **\$500 million reserve**; however, there is **no statutory cap on reserves** – and the **legislature could sweep the fund** for other purposes.

**Small businesses simply can't afford more attacks on their bottom line.** We must oppose this new, mandatory, state-run guaranteed leave program and the payroll tax it creates.

**Protect small business. Oppose Paid Family & Medical Leave.**

June 30, 2017